Case: 1:08-cv-02755-DCN Doc #: 323-8 Filed: 06/01/15 1 of 5. PageID #: 16486

EXHIBIT G

In The Matter Of:

Hodell-Natco Industries, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc., et al.

Kevin Reidl Vol. 2 July 31, 2012

NEXTGEN REPORTING

Making Litigation Easier.

NextGenReporting.com

PHILADELPHIA | 215.944.5800 NEW YORK CITY | 646.470.3376 PHOENIX | 623.224.2760 SILICON VALLEY | 650.799.8020

Original File kreidl2_1.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

MI AIIICIICA	Inc., et al.			Jτ	ıly 31, 20
		Page 181			Page 18
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			1	WITNESS INDEX	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION		2		D1.C=
3			3		PAGE
HODELL-	NATCO IES, INC.,) Case No. 1:08 CV 2755)	4	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION	
_	ntiffs,) Judge: Lesley Wells) Magistrate Judge:	5	KEVIN REIDL BY MR. STAR	5
6 vs.) Greg White	6	BY MR. HULME	468
	RICA, INC.,	,)) Volume II	7	EXHIBIT INDEX	
et al.,)	8		
	ndants.	,	9	Deposition -	
,)			10	Exhibit 3 Amended Complaint	310
1			11	-	
VI	DEOCONFERENCE	DEPOSITION OF KEVIN REIDL	12	Exhibit 5 11/1/05 Article	233
2				Exhibit 16 10/14/04 Article from SAP	228
3	DATE: Tueso	day, July 31, 2012	13	Exhibit 24 Hodell Con. Financials 02-09	197
4	rime: 9:04	a.m.	14	Exhibit 36 Exhibit A to Amended Comp.	216
	PLACE: Remin	nger & Reminger	15	Exhibit 144 11/14/06 Chain of Emails	289
5	1400 101	Midland Building Prospect Avenue, West	16	Exhibit 146 1/12/07 Chain of Emails	294
7	Cleve	eland, Ohio 44115	17	Exhibit 252 12/05 License Agreement	240
3			18	Exhibit 277 5/22/09 Email to Activant	440
9			19	Exhibit 281 Survey from Activant	438
)			20	Exhibit 297 5/19/05 Chain of Emails	230
L			21	Exhibit 314 Exhibit A to Amended Comp.	218
2			22	Exhibit 315 10/11/04 Hodell Document	225
NEXTGEN REPORTI	NG.	Angela A. O'Neill, RPR 6729 Ross Road	23	Exhibit 316 12/05 License Agreement	238
REPORTI.	NG	Rockford, Ohio 45882	24	Exhibit 317 Hodell 39901-39952	261
Registe	red Profession	(419) 302-4039 nal Reporters	25	Exhibit 318 1/21-25/06 Chain of Emails	273
		Page 182			Page 18
1 appeara	NCES.	1 age 102	1	Exhibit 319 Transcript of Lowery Tapes	340
<u>.</u> 2			2	Exhibit 320 5/21-22/07 Chain of Emails	347
	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:			Exhibit 321 5/22/07 Chain of Emails	354
MR. P. WESLEY LAMBERT, ESQ. Koehler, Neal, LLC 3330 Erieview Tower			3		361
			_	Exhibit 322 1/11/08 Chain of Emails	
Clevela	st Ninth Street nd, Ohio 4411		5	Exhibit 323 Compendium Ex of Bus. Rec.	363
wlambert@koehlerneal.com			6	Exhibit 324 Kennedy's Expert Report	382
7			7	Exhibit 325 9/25/09 Chain of Emails	443
ON BEHA	LF OF THE DEF	ENDANT SAP AMERICA, SAP AG:	8	Exhibit 326 12/18/09 Chain of Emails	448
	MR. GREGORY J. STAR, ESQ. Drinker, Biddle, Reath			Exhibit 327 1/15/10 Chain of Emails	463
One Log	One Logan Square				
L Philade	Suite 2000 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 (215) 988-2734		11		
	.Star@dbr.com		12		
ON BEHA	. E OE MIL	EMDANT IST.	13		
<u> </u>	LF OF THE DEF		14		
5 Reminge	A. HULME, ES r & Reminger		15		
5 101 Pro	dland Building spect Avenue,	West	16		
7 (216) 6	Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 687-1311		17		
	reminger.com		18		
9			19		
)			20		
			21		
			22		
L					
L 2			, ,		
1 2 3			23		
5 1 2 3 4 5			24 25		

Hodell-Natco Industries, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc., et al.

Kevin Reidl - Vol. 2 July 31, 2012

Page 255

Page 253

- 1 Q. Okay. Did you review this
- 2 document with anybody else at Hodell?
- **3** A. I believe briefly with Otto.
- 4 Q. Did you read the whole thing
- 5 before you signed it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did it give you any concern when
- 8 you read the -- the entire document before you
- 9 signed it?
- 10 A. It appeared to be pretty standard
- software licensing stuff, where no one's
- 12 accountable for anything.
- 13 Q. And you understood when you read
- it in December of 2005 that this was pretty
- standard software licensing language, correct?
- **16** A. From my recollection.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you wonder at the time
- why you hadn't seen a document, or been asked
- 19 to sign a document like this back in December
- 20 of 2004?
- 21 A. I don't recall. I -- I just know
- 22 that for the -- for the release of those 40
- 23 licenses, this had to be signed.
- 24 Q. Back in December of 2004, and
- 25 before signing this agreement, and the license

- 1 license agreement.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. You signed it December 23, 2005,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Is that your handwriting on the
- 7 front, where you fill in the date, and the
- 8 name of your company and the address?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You see under the definition
- section, for instance, in 1.7, it spells out
- the details of what's proprietary information?
- 13 Do you see that?
- MR. LAMBERT: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: 1.7?
- 16 BY MR. STAR:
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. Yeah. It -- just give me a
- 19 minute to read it, if you can. (Doing as
- 20 indicated.) Yes.
- **21** Q. No language like that appears
- anywhere in the development agreement, does
- 23 it?
- 24 A. I don't believe so.
- 25 Q. You see Section 2 of the license

Page 254

Page 256

- 1 agreement in December of 2005, did you have
- any expectation that Hodell actually had the
- 3 legal right to use any of SAP's software?
- 4 MR. LAMBERT: I'll object. Form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe we had the
- 6 right to use their software, because we had
- 7 purchased 80 licenses in 2004.
- 8 BY MR. STAR:
- 9 Q. What is your basis besides --
- 10 well, strike that.
- 11 What is your basis for saying that
- before signing this license agreement in
- December of 2005, Hodell had the right to use
- **14** SAP's software?
- 15 A. Well, we --
- MR. LAMBERT: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: -- we purchased 80
- 18 licenses from their business partner.
- 19 BY MR. STAR:
- 20 Q. Through the development
- 21 agreement?
- **22** A. Through the development
- agreement, which specified 80 SAP Business One
- 24 licenses.
- 25 O. Let's -- let's look at the

- agreement is titled License Grant? You agree
- 2 with me that no language like that is found
- 3 anywhere in the development agreement?
- 4 A. I don't believe so.
- 5 MR. LAMBERT: Objection.
- 6 BY MR. STAR:
- 7 Q. You don't believe it's found in
- 8 the development agreement?
- 9 A. I don't believe it's found in the
- 10 development agreement.
- 11 O. Section 6 of the agreement is
- titled Proprietary Rights. You agree with me
- that there is no language like that found in
- 14 the development agreement?
- MR. LAMBERT: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.
- 17 BY MR. STAR:
- 18 Q. You don't believe that language
- is in the development agreement?
- 20 A. Well, I can look back. I don't
- 21 -- I don't believe it's in the development
- 22 agreement.
- 23 Q. Those sections that we just
- 24 looked at, they're a part of what you, back in
- December of 2005, considered to be pretty

Min-U-Script® NextGen Reporting (19) Pages 253 - 256 (215) 944-5800

Page 479

	Page 477			Page 47
1	1 ERRATA SHEET			CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER
2				I, Angela A. O'Neill, a Registered
3				Professional Reporter and Notary Public,
4				authorized to administer oaths and to take and
5				certify depositions, do hereby certify that the
6				above-named witness was by me, before the giving
7	page. Do not mark on the transcript itself. Please sign and date this sheet as indicated		7	of their deposition, first duly sworn to testify
8				the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
9	corrections, please indicate "None."			truth to questions propounded at the taking of the
10	10 Page/Line Correction Reason			foregoing deposition in a cause now pending and
11	1			undetermined in said court.
12	2			I further certify that the deposition
13	3			above-set forth was reduced to writing by me by
14	4			means of machine shorthand and was later
15		1	L 5	transcribed from my original shorthand notes; that
16		1	L 6	this is a true record of the testimony given by
17		1	.7	the witness; and that said deposition was taken at
18		1	8	the aforementioned time, date, and place, pursuant
19		1	9	to notice or stipulations of counsel.
20		2	20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and
21		2	21	seal this 3rd day of August, 2012.
22		2	22	
23	DATED:	2	23	angela a. O'Noill
24		2	24	Ungela U. OT feelf
25	25 KEVIN REIDL			Angela A. O'Neill, RPR
	Pa	age 478		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION			
3	HODELL-NATCO) Case No. 1:08 CV 2755			
4	INDUSTRIES, INC.,) Judge: Lesley Wells	'		
5	Plaintiffs,) Magistrate Judge:) Greg White			
6	vs.)			
7	SAP AMERICA, INC.,) Volume II			
8	et al.,) Defendants.)			
9	Delendants.)			
10				
11	SIGNATURE SHEET			
12	DEPOSITION OF KEVIN REIDL			
13	I do hereby acknowledge that the above			
14		У		
15	portrays the answers given by me, except as motherwise noted on the errata sheet(s) attach	led led		
16	hereto.			
17				
18	KEVIN REIDL			
19	Dated:			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				